

Flight Safety Foundation

CFIT Checklist

Evaluate the Risk and Take Action

Flight Safety Foundation (FSF) designed this controlled-flight-into-terrain (CFIT) risk-assessment safety tool as part of its international program to reduce CFIT accidents, which present the greatest risks to aircraft, crews and passengers. The FSF CFIT Checklist is likely to undergo further developments, but the Foundation believes that the checklist is sufficiently developed to warrant distribution to the worldwide aviation community.

Use the checklist to evaluate specific flight operations and to enhance pilot awareness of the CFIT risk. The checklist is divided into three parts. In each part, numerical values are assigned to a variety of factors that the pilot/operator will use to score his/her own situation and to calculate a numerical total.

In Part I: CFIT Risk Assessment, the level of CFIT risk is calculated for each flight, sector or leg. In Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors, Company Culture, Flight Standards, Hazard Awareness and Training, and Aircraft Equipment are factors, which are calculated in separate sections. In Part III: Your CFIT Risk, the totals of the four sections in Part II are combined into a single value (a positive number) and compared with the total (a negative number) in Part 1: CFIT Risk Assessment to determine your CFIT Risk Score. To score the checklist, use a nonpermanent marker (do not use a ballpoint pen or pencil) and erase with a soft cloth.

Part I: CFIT Risk Assessment

| Section 1 – Destination CFIT Risk Factors | Value | Score |
|---|-------------|-------|
| Airport and Approach Control Capabilities: | | |
| ATC approach radar with MSAWS | 0 | |
| ATC minimum radar vectoring charts | | |
| ATC radar only | | |
| ATC radar coverage limited by terrain masking | | |
| No radar coverage available (out of service/not installed) | 30 | |
| No ATC service | | |
| Expected Approach: | | |
| Airport located in or near mountainous terrain | 20 | |
| ILS | | |
| VOR/DME | | |
| Nonprecision approach with the approach slope from the FAF to | | |
| the airport TD shallower than 2 ³ / ₄ degrees | 20 | |
| NDB | | |
| Visual night "black-hole" approach | 30 | |
| Runway Lighting: | | |
| Complete approach lighting system | 0 | |
| Limited lighting system | | |
| Controller/Pilot Language Skills: | | |
| Controllers and pilots speak different primary languages | -20 | |
| Controllers' spoken English or ICAO phraseology poor | | |
| Pilots' spoken English poor | | |
| Departure: | | |
| No published departure procedure | 10 | |
| Destination CFIT Risk Facto | rs Total (- | -) |

| | er | Value | Score |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Your Company's Type of | Operation (select only one value): | , 0.2.0.0 | 50010 |
| Scheduled | | 1.0 | |
| Nonscheduled | | 1.2 | |
| Corporate | | 1.3 | |
| Charter | | 1.5 | |
| Business owner/pilot | | 2.0 | |
| Regional | | 2.0 | |
| Freight | | 2.5 | |
| Domestic | | 1.0 | |
| International | | 3.0 | |
| | t (select single highest applicable value): | | |
| Australia/New Zealand | l | 1.0 | |
| United States/Canada | | 1.0 | |
| Western Europe | | 1.3 | |
| Middle East | | 1.1 | |
| Southeast Asia | | 3.0 | |
| Euro-Asia (Eastern Eur | rope and Commonwealth of Independent States) | 3.0 | |
| | ean | | |
| | | | |
| Weather/Night Condition | | | |
| 9 | s (select only one value). | 2.0 | |
| · · | | | |
| | | | |
| · · | | 3.0 | |
| Crew (select only one valu | | | |
| | ⁷ | | |
| | maximum and ending with a night nonprecision approach | | |
| • | e or more time zones | | |
| Third day of multiple to | ime-zone crossings | 1.2 | |
| | | | |
| | Add Multiplier Values to Calculate Risk Multip | | |
| | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors | lier Total | |
| | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors | lier Total | |
| Section 1 – Company Cul | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture | lier Total ors Total (- | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture | lier Total ors Total (- Value | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: | Value | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual | Value 20 20 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual safety function | Value202020 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule | Value20202020 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all Fosters communication | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual safety function CFIT incidents without threat of discipline of hazards to others | Value2020202020 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company mana Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all Fosters communication Requires standards for | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule | Value 202020201515 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all Fosters communication Requires standards for Places no negative com | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual safety function CFIT incidents without threat of discipline of hazards to others IFR currency and CRM training notation on a diversion or missed approach | Value 202020201515 | -) |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company man Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all Fosters communication Requires standards for Places no negative com | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual l safety function CFIT incidents without threat of discipline of hazards to others IFR currency and CRM training notation on a diversion or missed approach Tops in company culture | Value2020202020 | Score |
| Section 1 – Company Cul Corporate/company mans Places safety before scl CEO signs off on flight Maintains a centralized Fosters reporting of all Fosters communication Requires standards for Places no negative cons | Factors Total × Risk Multiplier Total = CFIT Risk Fact Part II: CFIT Risk-reduction Factors ture agement: hedule t operations manual safety function CFIT incidents without threat of discipline of hazards to others IFR currency and CRM training notation on a diversion or missed approach | Value2020202020 | Score |

| Section 2 – Flight Standards | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Section 2 1 light Stantairus | Value | Score |
| Specific procedures are written for: | | |
| Reviewing approach or departure procedures charts | 10 | |
| Reviewing significant terrain along intended approach or departure course | | |
| Maximizing the use of ATC radar monitoring | | |
| Ensuring pilot(s) understand that ATC is using radar or radar coverage exists | | |
| Altitude changes | | |
| Ensuring checklist is complete before initiation of approach | | |
| Abbreviated checklist for missed approach | | |
| Briefing and observing MSA circles on approach charts as part of plate review | | |
| | | |
| Checking crossing altitudes at IAF positions | | |
| Checking crossing altitudes at FAF and glideslope centering | 10 | |
| Independent verification by PNF of minimum altitude during | •• | |
| stepdown DME (VOR/DME or LOC/DME) approach | 20 | |
| Requiring approach/departure procedure charts with terrain | | |
| in color, shaded contour formats | | |
| Radio-altitude setting and light-aural (below MDA) for backup on approach | | |
| Independent charts for both pilots, with adequate lighting and holders | 10 | |
| Use of 500-foot altitude call and other enhanced procedures for NPA | 10 | |
| Ensuring a sterile (free from distraction) cockpit, especially during | | |
| IMC/night approach or departure | 10 | |
| Crew rest, duty times and other considerations especially | | |
| for multiple-time-zone operation | 20 | |
| Periodic third-party or independent audit of procedures | | |
| Route and familiarization checks for new pilots | - | |
| Domestic | 10 | |
| International | | |
| Airport familiarization aids, such as audiovisual aids | | |
| First officer to fly night or IMC approaches and the captain to | 10 | |
| monitor the approach | 20 | |
| Jump-seat pilot (or engineer or mechanic) to help monitor terrain clearance | 20 | |
| and the approach in IMC or night conditions | 20 | |
| | | |
| Insisting that you fly the way that you train | 25 | |
| 300-335 points Tops in CFIT flight standards | | |
| 270-300 points Good, but not the best Flight Standar | ds Total (- | +) * |
| 200-270 points Improvement needed | | / |
| Less than 200 High CFIT risk | | |
| Section 3 – Hazard Awareness and Training | | |
| Section 5 – Hazaru Awareness and Hanning | Value | Score |
| Varia commence and area toolising with the toolising denomination toolising contrast of | | Beore |
| Your company reviews training with the training department or training contractor | 10 | |
| Your company's pilots are reviewed annually about the following: | 20 | |
| Flight standards operating procedures | | |
| Reasons for and examples of how the procedures can detect a CFIT "trap" | | |
| Recent and past CFIT incidents/accidents | | |
| Audiovisual aids to illustrate CFIT traps | | |
| Minimum altitude definitions for MORA, MOCA, MSA, MEA, etc | | |
| You have a trained flight safety officer who rides the jump seat occasionally | 25 | |
| You have flight safety periodicals that describe and analyze CFIT incidents | 10 | |
| You have an incident/exceedance review and reporting program | 20 | |
| Your organization investigates every instance in which minimum | | |
| terrain clearance has been compromised | 20 | |
| _ | | |

| | | GPWS in the simulator | |
|---|--|--|----------|
| 285-315 points 250-285 points 190-250 points Less than 190 | Tops in CFIT training Good, but not the best Improvement needed High CFIT risk | Hazard Awareness and Training Total | _ |
| Section 4 – Aircraft Equi | pment | | |
| - | - | Value | e Score |
| Aircraft includes: | | | |
| | | -foot range — captain only20 | |
| | | -foot range — copilot 10 | |
| • | | 20 | |
| _ | | 30 | |
| | ved modifications, data table | | |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | ted callouts for nonprecision | | |
| | | cedure 10 | |
| | ides to provide automated ca | | |
| | | n approach10 | |
| | nd radio altitudes to give aut | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Auto flight/vertical spe | eed mode | 10 | |
| Auto flight/vertical spe | eed mode with no GPWS | 20 | |
| GPS or other long-ran | ge navigation equipment to s | supplement | |
| NDB-only approach | ch | | |
| Terrain-navigation dis | play | 20 | |
| Ground-mapping rada | r | 10 | |
| 175-195 points 155-175 points 115-155 points Less than 115 | Excellent equipment to min Good, but not the best Improvement needed High CFIT risk | nimize CFIT risk Aircraft Equipment Total | (+) * |
| Company Culture | + Flight Standards | + Hazard Awareness and Trainin | g |
| - · | | Risk-reduction Factors Total (+) | _ |
| * If any section | | a "Good," a thorough review is warrant e company's operation. | ted |
| | Part III: You | r CFIT Risk | |
| Part I CFIT Risk Fa | | t II CFIT Risk-reduction Factors Total (Score (±) | +) |
| A magatina CEIT D | | scort threats review the sections in Dev | t II and |

A negative CFIT Risk Score indicates a significant threat; review the sections in Part II and determine what changes and improvements can be made to reduce CFIT risk.

In the interest of aviation safety, this checklist may be reprinted in whole or in part, but credit must be given to Flight Safety Foundation. To request more information or to offer comments about the FSF CFIT Checklist, contact James M. Burin, director of technical programs, Flight Safety Foundation, 601 Madison Street, Suite 300, Alexandria, VA 22314 U.S., Telephone: +1 (703) 739-6700 • Fax: +1 (703) 739-6708.

FSF CFIT Checklist © 1994 Flight Safety Foundation